UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA "LA SAPIENZA"
CENTRO INTERUNIVERSITARIO DI RICERCA SULLE CIVILTÀ E L'AMBIENTE DEL SAHARA ANTICO E DELLE ZONE ARIDE
DEPARTMENT OF THE ANTIQUITIES OF LIBYA

The Archaeology of Libyan Sahara
Volume I

SAND, STONES, AND BONES

The Archaeology of Death in The Wadi Tanezzuft Valley (5000-2000 bp)

Edited by
Savino di Lernia and Giorgio Manzi

Assembled by
Francesca Merighi

Arid Zone Archaeology

MONOGRAPHS 3

EDIZIONI ALL'INSEGNA DEL GIGLIO

2002

ARID ZONE ARCHAEOLOGY, MONOGRAPHS

Mario Liverani, Series Editor

This series collects original case studies dealing with ancient societies from the Late Pleistocene throughout historical period. Focus is on cultural transformations, economic organisation, and palaeoenvironmental reconstruction. Area of interest is the arid belt stretching from North Africa (Sahara and Nile Valley, in particular) to the Arabic peninsula up to central Asia.

EDITORIAL BOARD

Mauro Cremaschi, Mario Liverani and Giorgio Manzi

ADVISORY BOARD

Guido Barbujani, University of Ferrara
Isabella Caneva, University of Lecce
Achilles Gautier, University of Gent
Yves Gauthier, Saint Martin Le Vinoux
Augustin Holl, University of Michigan
Mark Milburn, Moensheim
Katharina Neumann, University of Frankfurt
François Paris, Setlas, Tunis
John Robb, University of Cambridge
John P. Wild, University of Manchester
Daniela Zampetti, University of Rome "La Sapienza"

LANGUAGE CONSULTANT

Maryanne Tafuri, Southampton

DRAWINGS

Leonarda De Ninno, Rome

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

Roberto Castelli, Rome

Volume pubblicato con contributo MIUR
Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (ex-MURST)
Cofinanziamento 2001 (n. 2001108755)
Ambiente e Cultura. Sviluppi socio-politici in società complesse e l'origine dello stato nelle zone aride

ISBN 88-7814-281-6
© 2002 – All'Insegna del Giglio s.a.s. - Via N. Piccinni 32, Firenze
www.edigiglio.it
Finito di stampare nel dicembre 2002
arti grafiche – Firenze

The Archaeology of Libyan Sahara

Volume I

SAND, STONES, AND BONES

The Archaeology of Death in The Wadi Tanezzuft Valley (5000-2000 bp)

Edited by
Savino di Lernia and Giorgio Manzi

Assembled by
Francesca Merighi

with contributions of

F. Alhaique, B. Arrighetti, E.S. Azzebi, C. Babalini, G.B. Bertolani, S.M. Borgognini Tarli, E. Bruner, S. Bruni, R. Castelli, C. Cattaneo, M. Cottini, M. Cremaschi, E. Cristiani, S. di Lernia, C. Fornai, C. Lemorini, J.A. Lorente, M. Lorente, A. Lovisolo, G. Manzi, C. Martinez-Labarga, A. Maspero †, F. Merighi, A. Palombini, P. Passarello, B. Reale, F. Ricci, O. Rickards, M. Rottoli, S. Sivilli, F. Vecchi

with foreword by M. Liverani and a comment by F. Paris

Arid Zone Archaeology

Monographs 3

To the living and the dead of the Tanezzuft Valley,
and to Alfio Maspero, unforgettable friend

Contents

Contributors XI

Illustrations XIII

Tables XIX

Foreword *Mario Liverani* XXI

Commentary *François Paris* XXIII

Editorial Preface *Savino di Lernia and Giorgio Manzi* XXV

Acknowledgements XXIX

Chapter One The archaeology of death. Aspects, questions and problems in the Sahara
Savino di Lernia and Giorgio Manzi 1

Chapter Two A territorial approach to the study area: landscape, geomorphology, and problems
Mauro Cremaschi and Savino di Lernia 7

Chapter Three A historical background: mortuary archaeology in the Sahara between colonialism and modern research
Sandra Sivilli 17

Chapter Four A regional perspective: the surveys
Savino di Lernia, Giovanni B. Bertolani, Roberto Castelli, Francesca Merighi and Augusto Palombini 25

Chapter Five From regions to sites: the excavations
Savino di Lernia, Francesca Merighi, Francesca Ricci and Sandra Sivilli 69

Chapter Six Textiles and leather: raw materials and manufacture
Alfio Maspero†, Silvia Bruni, Cristina Cattaneo and Annalisa Lovisolo 157

Chapter Seven Some information on archaeobotanical remains
Michela Cottini and Mauro Rottoli 169

Chapter Eight Archaeozoology of the funerary structures
Francesca Alhaique 181

Chapter Nine Stones, bones and other grave goods in a techno-functional perspective
Emanuela Cristiani and Cristina Lemorini 197

Chapter Ten The human skeletal remains: inventory and inferences
Francesca Ricci, Giorgio Manzi, Cinzia Fornai, Francesco Vecchi and Pietro Passarello 217

Chapter Eleven Faces from the ancient Fezzan: a geometric morphometric approach
Emiliano Bruner, Francesca Ricci and Giorgio Manzi 251

Chapter Twelve Skeletal markers of stress at Site 96/129
Belinda Arrighetti, Bruna Reale, Francesca Ricci and Silvana M. Borgognini Tarli 261

Chapter Thirteen Ancient DNA studies: first results
Carla Babalini, Cristina Martínez-Labarga, Jose Antonio Lorente, Miguel Lorente and Olga Rickards 269

Chapter Fourteen Cultural variability and human trajectories in later prehistory of the Wadi Tanezzuft
Savino di Lernia, Giorgio Manzi and Francesca Merighi 281

Appendix I Description of the structures found during the Intensive Survey
Francesca Merighi, Roberto Castelli and Augusto Palombini 303

Appendix II Photographic inventory of human remains 317

Colour Plates 323

Bibliography 333

Arabic Summary *Ebrahim Saleh Azzebi*..... 354

Contributors

Francesca Alhaique	Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy
Belinda Arrighetti	Dipartimento di Etologia, Ecologia ed Evoluzione, Università di Pisa, Via A.Volta 6, 56126 Pisa, Italy
Ebrahim Saleh Azzebi	Department of the Antiquities, Tripoli, Libya
Carla Babalini	Centro di Antropologia Molecolare per lo studio del Dna antico, Dipartimento di Biologia, Università degli Studi di Roma *"Tor Vergata"*, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Rome, Italy
Giovanni B. Bertolani	Via Pietro Venturi 6, 00149 Rome, Italy
Silvana M. Borgognini Tarli	Dipartimento di Etologia, Ecologia ed Evoluzione, Università di Pisa, Via A.Volta 6, 56126 Pisa, Italy
Emiliano Bruner	Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy
Silvia Bruni	Dipartimento di Chimica Inorganica, Metallorganica e Analitica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via G. Venezian 21, 20133 Milan, Italy
Roberto Castelli	Via Tor Paluzzi 39, 00040 Albano Laziale (RM), Italy
Cristina Cattaneo	Laboratorio di Antropologia ed Odontologia Forense, Istituto di Medicina Legale, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Mangiagalli 37, 20133 Milan, Italy
Michela Cottini	Laboratorio di Archeobiologia dei Musei Civici di Como, Piazza Medaglie d'Oro 1, 22100 Como, Italy
Mauro Cremaschi	CNR, Centro Geodinamica Alpina e Quaternaria, Via Mangiagalli 34, 23100 Milan, Italy
Emanuela Cristiani	Scuola di Specializzazione in Archeologia, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy
Savino di Lernia	Dipartimento di Scienze Storiche, Archeologiche e Antropologiche dell'Antichità, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Via Palestro 63, 00185 Rome, Italy, dilernia@uniroma1.it
Cinzia Fornai	Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy
Cristina Lemorini	Museo delle Origini, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy
Jose A. Lorente	Departamento de Medicina Legal, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Granada, España
Miguel Lorente	Departamento de Medicina Legal, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Granada, España
Annalisa Lovisolo	Laboratorio di Antropologia ed Odontologia Forense, Istituto di Medicina Legale, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Mangiagalli 37, 20133 Milan, Italy
Giorgio Manzi	Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy, giorgio.manzi@uniroma1.it

Cristina Martínez-Labarga — Centro di Antropologia Molecolare per lo studio del Dna antico, Dipartimento di Biologia, Università degli Studi di Roma *"Tor Vergata"*, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Rome, Italy

Alfio Maspero† — Laboratorio di Archeobiologia dei Musei Civici di Como, Piazza Medaglie d'Oro 1, 22100 Como, Italy

Francesca Merighi — Dottorato di Ricerca in Archeologia (Preistoria), Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Via Palestro 63, 00185 Rome, Italy

Augusto Palombini — Dottorato di Ricerca in Africanistica, Laboratorio di Archeologia, Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli, Piazza S. Domenico Maggiore 14, 80134 Naples, Italy

Pietro Passarello — Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy

Bruna Reale — Dipartimento di Etologia, Ecologia ed Evoluzione, Università di Pisa, Via A.Volta 6, 56126 Pisa, Italy

Francesca Ricci — Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy

Olga Rickards — Centro di Antropologia Molecolare per lo studio del Dna antico, Dipartimento di Biologia, Università degli Studi di Roma *"Tor Vergata"*, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Rome, Italy

Mauro Rottoli — Laboratorio di Archeobiologia dei Musei Civici di Como, Piazza Medaglie d'Oro 1, 22100 Como, Italy

Sandra Sivilli — Via dei Gracchi 278, 00192 Rome, Italy

Francesco Vecchi — Dipartimento di Biologia Animale e dell'Uomo, Università degli Studi di Roma *"La Sapienza"*, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy

Illustrations

Figure 1.1, p. 2 – Scattered human remains of *Middle Pastoral* age at Site 00/13, located in the Erg Titersin.

Figure 1.2, p. 3 – Landsat image of the area licensed to the Italo-Libyan Joint Mission of the University of Rome "*La Sapienza*".

Figure 1.3, p. 4 – The discovery in 1958 of the mummified child at Uan Muhuggiag, by Angelo Pasa.

Figure 1.4, p. 5 – Ceramic container deposed as grave goods in the burial of an adult male at In Habeter III (Messak Settafet).

Figure 2.1, p. 8 – Distribution of ancient populations of Libya, according to Herodotus, in the interpretation by Fantoli (1933).

Figure 2.2, p. 9 – Geological sketch of the Tanezzuft Valley and neighbouring regions.

Figure 2.3, p. 10 – Old excavations at the necropolis of Cocaman, near Ghat.

Figure 2.4, p. 10 – The Acacus scarp, and ephemeral pastures in the Tanezzuft Valley.

Figure 2.5, p. 11 – Satellite image of the Tanezzuft Valley and Acacus massif.

Figure 2.6, p. 12 – Geomorphological map of Wadi Tanezzuft, with location of main regions.

Figure 2.7, p. 13 – View of a megalith site in dune environment.

Figure 2.8, p. 14 – The paleochannels of the Gara Ouda playa.

Figure 3.1, p. 19 – Burial chamber typology (after Pace *et al*. 1951).

Figure 3.2, p. 23 – Distribution map of principal sites with stone structures in Eastern Africa and in central-eastern Sahara, with relative ^{14}C dates (expressed in hundreds of years).

Figure 3.3, p. 24 – Radiocarbon dates from Niger, by latitude (after A. Smith 2000, modified).

Figure 4.1, pp. 28-29 – Main architectural types located in the Tanezzuft Valley.

Figure 4.2, p. 32 – Mapping Site T32 with a differential GPS.

Figure 4.3, p. 33 – The keyhole monument located on the Mesa I, *Eastern Tanezzuft* area.

Figure 4.4, p. 36 – Distribution map of architectural types in the Tanezzuft Valley.

Figure 4.5, p. 37 – View of Site 00/359, the only axle-shaped monument of the Tanezzuft Valley.

Figure 4.6, p. 38 – Polished stone artefacts from Site 00/359.

Figure 4.7, p. 39 – 3D models of site quantity, according to size, in the Tanezzuft Valley, based on number of funerary sites in a 2' squared grid.

Figure 4.8, p. 40 – Distribution map of stone tumuli in the Tanezzuft, by size.

Figure 4.9, p. 41 – Factor analysis of the architectural features (source: extensive survey database).

Figure 4.10, p. 41 – Visualisation of structure clustering by means of nearest neighbour analysis.

Figure 4.11, p. 42 – A stone platform on the Pleistocene terrace (Site 01/69), bordering the Tanezzuft alluvial plain.

Figure 4.12, p. 43 – Different density of funerary sites and settlements in the *Late Pastoral*, according to their geographical distribution.

Figure 4.13, p. 45 – Plot of settlements and cemeteries frequencies' relationships.

Figure 4.14, p. 46 – The corridor of Site 00/98, in the Erg Titersin.

Figure 4.15, p. 47 – Distribution map of the structures located in the Erg Titersin (Site 00/98).

Figure 4.16, p. 49 – Re-utilisation of a prehistoric monument during Islamic times (Site 91).

Figure 4.17, p. 49 – View of Site 01/53.

Figure 4.18, p. 51 – Distribution map of the structures located in *Western Tanezzuft*.

Figure 4.19, p. 52 – View of the isolated flatirons of the *Eastern Tanezzuft* area.

Figure 4.20, p. 52 – The crescent located in the *Eastern Tanezzuft* area, located on the slope, east of Mesa I.

Figure 4.21, p. 53 – Distribution map of the structures located in *Eastern Tanezzuft*, with indication of the 'mesas'.

Figure 4.22, p. 56 – The Wadi In Aghelachem, seen from the flatiron top.

Figure 4.23, p. 57 – Distribution map of the structures located in the Wadi In Aghelachem.

Figure 4.24, p. 58 – *Garamantian* ceramics from the Wadi In Aghelachem area.

Figure 4.25, p. 61 – Seriation of tumuli' stones of the *Western* (above) and *Eastern Tanezzuft* (below) samples.

Figure 4.26, p. 62 – Thiessen-Voronoi polygons applied to the tumuli of the *Western Tanezzuft* sample.

Figure 4.27, p. 63 – Thiessen-Voronoi polygons applied to the tumuli of the *Eastern Tanezzuft* sample.

Figure 4.28, p. 64 – Combining the analyses: aggregation of *Western Tanezzuft* stone structures.

Figure 4.29, p. 66 – Combining the analyses: aggregation of *Eastern Tanezzuft* stone structures.

Figure 5.1, p. 70 – Recording a stone platform at Site 96/129.

Figure 5.2, p. 71 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98: photographic mosaic of Tumulus 1.

Figure 5.3, p. 72 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98: view of Tumulus 2 from north, before the excavation. The 'right' arm of T1 is visible in the background.

Figure 5.4, p. 73 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98, Tumulus 2: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the stratigraphic section of the structure, west/east oriented.

Figure 5.5, p. 73 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98: view of Tumulus 4 from south-west, before the excavation.

Figure 5.6, p. 74 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98, Tumulus 4: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the stratigraphic section of the structure, north-west/south-east oriented.

Figure 5.7, p. 75 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98, Tumulus 4: orthorectification of the photographic mapping of the stone platform (Layer 4).

Figure 5.8, p. 76 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98, Tumulus 4: drawing of H1.

Figure 5.9, p. 76 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98, Tumulus 4: the 'pre-dynastic' knife found under the left arm of H1.

Figure 5.10, p. 77 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98: view of Tumulus 6 from south-east, before the excavation.

Figure 5.11, p. 77 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98, Tumulus 6: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the stratigraphic section of the structure, east/west oriented.

Figure 5.12, p. 79 – *Tanezzuft Transect*: orthorectification of the Stone Platform T43, before the excavation.

Figure 5.13, p. 80 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Stone Platform T43: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the prospect and stratigraphic sequence of the structure, east/west oriented.

Figure 5.14, p. 81 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Stone Platform T43: zenithal view of the platform (Layer 5).

Figure 5.15, p. 82 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Stone Platform T43: drawing of H1.

Figure 5.16, p. 83 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Stone Platform T43: distribution and stratigraphic features of the ceramic fragments belonging to a single, *Late Pastoral* vessel.

Figure 5.17, p. 83 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Stone Platform T43: the restored *Late Pastoral* vessel.

Figure 5.18, p. 84 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Stone Platform T43: shape and profile of the restored *Late Pastoral* vessel.

Figure 5.19, p. 85 – Lithic artefacts from different burials.

Figure 5.20, p. 86 – *Tanezzuft Transect*: view of Tumulus 6 from east, before the excavation.

Figure 5.21, p. 86 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Tumulus 6: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the prospect and stratigraphic sequence of the structure, north/south oriented.

Figure 5.22, p. 87 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Tumulus 6: drawing of H1.

Figure 5.23, p. 88 – *Tanezzuft Transect*: photographic mosaic of Site 00/195, before the excavation.

Figure 5.24, p. 89 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the prospect and stratigraphic sequence of the structure, east/west oriented.

Figure 5.25, p. 90 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: zenithal view of the platform (Layer 3). On the eastern part, it is possible to see the ancient plundering.

Figure 5.26, p. 90 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: drawing of H1.

Figure 5.27, p. 91 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: distribution of ceramic fragments scattered over the stone platform covering the burial pit.

Figure 5.28, p. 91 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: the restored vessel.

Figure 5.29, p. 92 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: shape and profile of the restored vessel.

Figure 5.30, p. 93 – *Tanezzuft Transect*: photographic mosaic of Site 00/195*bis*, before the excavation.

Figure 5.31, p. 94 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195*bis*: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the prospect and stratigraphic sequence of the structure, north/south oriented.

Figure 5.32, p. 96 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195*bis*: drawing of H1.

Figure 5.33, p. 96 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195*bis*: particular of the arms of individual H1 with long, intertwined rows of ostrich eggshell beads over the right humerus.

Figure 5.34, p. 97 –*Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195*bis*: the burial of H1, with the indication of the bone fragments of equid.

Figure 5.35, p. 98 – In Aghelachem, view of Tumulus 1 from north-west, at the beginning of the excavations.

Figure 5.36, p. 99 – In Aghelachem, Tumulus 1: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the prospect and stratigraphic sequence of the structure, west/east oriented.

Figure 5.37, p. 100 – In Aghelachem, Tumulus 1: panoramic view of Layer 3.

Figure 5.38, p. 100 – In Aghelachem, Tumulus 1: reconstruction of the stone platform (Layer 3 bottom), related to the external stone ring (Layer 5) and the central burial lithic cist (Layer 4).

Figure 5.39, p. 101 – In Aghelachem, Tumulus 1: a) zenithal view of the lithic cist (Layer 4) before its dismantling; b) the corpse of H1 inside the cist.

Figure 5.40, p. 101 – In Aghelachem, Tumulus 1: drawing of H1.

Figure 5.41, p. 102 – In Aghelachem, Tumulus 1: the landscape at the end of the excavations. The lithic cist, the stone ring and parts of the platform are still visible.

Figure 5.42, p. 103 – In Aghelachem, panoramic view of the monumental Site 97/5 ('Royal Tumulus'), from west.

Figure 5.43, p. 104 – In Aghelachem, map of the monumental Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus'.

Figure 5.44, p. 105 – In Aghelachem, transversal prospect of the Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus' and facing features, from east to west.

Figure 5.45, p. 107 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': prospect and stratigraphic sequence of the *Main Structure*, east/west oriented.

Figure 5.46, p. 108 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': bronze bracelet found near the left scapula of individual H1.

Figure 5.47, p. 109 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': drawing of H2.

Figure 5.48, p. 109 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': the bronze bracelet with iron rivets after the restoration.

Figure 5.49, p. 109 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': wheel-made vase found in association with individual H2.

Figure 5.50, p. 110 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': shape and profile of the wheel-made vase found in association with individual H2.

Figure 5.51, p. 110 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': view of U-Structure 2 from east, before the excavation.

Figure 5.52, p. 111 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus', U-Structure 2: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) the stratigraphic section.

Figure 5.53, p. 112 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': particular of the inner structure of U-Structure 2.

Figure 5.54, p. 112 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': oblique view of U-Structure 2 after the excavation (Layers 7 and 8), seen from east.

Figure 5.55, p. 113 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': the stratigraphic section of SF 9, Group 1.

Figure 5.56, p. 114 – In Aghelachem, Site RT2: zenithal photomosaic, before the excavation.

Figure 5.57, p. 115 – In Aghelachem, Site RT2: the stratigraphic section of SF 3, north-west/south-east oriented.

Figure 5.58, p. 117 – Site 96/129: planimetry of the necropolis with indication of the excavated structures.

Figure 5.59, p. 119 – Site 96/129: view of Tumuli 1 and 2 from north-east, before the excavation.

Figure 5.60, p. 120 – Site 96/129, Tumuli 1 and 2: above, 3D view of Tumuli 1 and 2; below, the square numbering with the location of the A-B section.

Figure 5.61, p. 121 – Site 96/129, Tumuli 1 and 2: prospect and stratigraphic sequence of the structures, north-west/south-east oriented.

Figure 5.62, p. 121 – Site 96/129, Tumuli 1 and 2: view of the burial pits at the end of excavations.

Figure 5.63, p. 122 – Site 96/129, Tumuli 1 and 2: schematic 3D view of burials' location and disposition.

Figure 5.64, p. 122 – Site 96/129: Tumulus 1: the stone platform covering the burial pit (2) of individual H8.

Figure 5.65, p. 123 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 1: drawing of H1.
Figure 5.66, p. 124 – Bone industry from different sites.
Figure 5.67, p. 125 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 1: drawing of H3.
Figure 5.68, p. 125 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 1: drawing of H5.
Figure 5.69, p. 126 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 1: detail of the multiple accumulation of human corpses in Pit 1 (H6a, b, and c).
Figure 5.70, p. 127 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 1: drawing of the secondary deposition of three individuals, from the highest (H6a) to the lowest (H6c).
Figure 5.71, p. 127 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 1: drawing of H7.
Figure 5.72, p. 128 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 1: drawing of H8.
Figure 5.73, p. 129 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 2: drawing of H1.
Figure 5.74, p. 130 – Beads made of different raw materials.
Figure 5.75, p. 131 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 2: drawing of H2a and H3.
Figure 5.76, p. 131 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 2: drawing of H4.
Figure 5.77, p. 132 – Rims and shapes of potsherds found at Site 96/129.
Figure 5.78, p. 134 – Ceramic decoration from potsherds found at Site 96/129.
Figure 5.79, pp. 136-137 – Site 96/129, Tumuli 1 and 2, schematic reconstruction of the main phases of structure life: a) horizontal distribution of burials according to hypothesised phasing; b) vertical reading of the tumuli' evolution, highlighting the aggrading nature of the monuments.
Figure 5.80, p. 138 – Site 96/129: view of Tumulus 3 and Structure 3*bis* from north-east, before the excavation.
Figure 5.81, p. 139 – Site 96/129, Tumuli 3 and Structure 3*bis*: above) 3D view of stone structures; below) the square numbering with the location of the A-A' section.
Figure 5.82, p. 140 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 3 and Structure 3*bis*: the prospect and the stratigraphic sequence of the structures, west/east oriented.
Figure 5.83, p. 141 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 3 and Structure 3*bis*: zenithal view of the underlying platform (Layer 4).
Figure 5.84, p. 142 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 3: drawing of H1.
Figure 5.85, p. 142 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 3: drawing of H2.
Figure 5.86, p. 143 – Site 96/129, Structure T3*bis*: zenithal view of the structure after the removal of the platform. It is visible the central stone ring encircling the burial pit.
Figure 5.87, p. 143 – Site 96/129, Structure T3*bis*: drawing of H1.
Figure 5.88, p. 145 – 96/129, Tumuli 3 and Structure 3*bis*. At the end of the excavations, the pits with an adult male (T3 H2) and a young female (T3*bis* H1) are visible, suggesting a possible ritual relationship.
Figure 5.89, p. 145 – Site 96/129: view of Tumulus 4 from north-east, before the excavation.
Figure 5.90, p. 146 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 4: a) the planimetry of the excavation and the square numbering; b) stratigraphic section of the structure, west/east oriented.
Figure 5.91, p. 147 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 4: drawing of H1.
Figure 5.92, p. 148 – Site 96/129: view of Tumulus 10 and Stone Ring 10*bis* from south-east, before the excavation.
Figure 5.93, p. 149 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10 and Stone Ring 10*bis*: a) the planimetry of the excavation and square numbering; b) the prospect and stratigraphic sequence of the structures, south-west/north-east oriented.
Figure 5.94, p. 150 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10: zenithal view of the underlying platform (Layer 6).
Figure 5.95, p. 151 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10: drawing of H1. A part of the underlying skeleton (H2) has been also represented.
Figure 5.96, p. 152 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10: drawing of H2.
Figure 5.97, p. 152 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10: the decorated bowl associated with H2.
Figure 5.98, p. 152 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10: drawing of H4.
Figure 5.99, p. 153 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10. Shape and profile of the ceramic container associated with H2.
Figure 5.100, p. 154 – Site 96/129: zenithal view of Structure 2, before the excavation.
Figure 5.101, p. 155 – Site 96/129, Structure 2: a) the planimetry of the excavation; b) stratigraphic section of the structure, west/east oriented.
Figure 5.102, p. 156 – Site 96/129, Structure 2: drawing of H1.
Figure 6.1, p. 159 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 3: a) plant remains contained in a leather object, a ' knapsack' or more probably a 'pillow'. It was deposed near the face of H1; b) leather remains of the container (stitching is visible on the leather).
Figure 6.2, p. 159 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 3: stitching on a leather fragment with three weathered stitches made of a thin flat thong (diameter of some 1 mm).
Figure 6.3, p. 160 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10: piece of a leather artefact. The biggest fragment shows stitching on its lower edge.
Figure 6.4, p. 161 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10: edge with a section of a stitch showing the thread and its passage; it seems to sew up a hem. The thread is some 0.5 mm wide and it is extremely weathered.
Figure 6.5, p. 162 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site T01, under Mesa I. Camel hairs (*Camelus dromedarius* L.): a) graph showing the measurements of the fibres of a bundle of hairs (c) that have the size range of camel hairs; b) graph showing the dimensions of the skin hairs with short plaits found in the 'Royal Tumulus'; c) little bundle of camel hairs form the coarse fabric found at Site T01, under Mesa I, which had been spun in the threads as it was attached to the coarser hairs used to make the textile.
Figure 6.6, p. 163 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site T01, under Mesa I: lack of relief of the scales in camel hairs (*Camelus dromedarius* L.).
Figure 6.7, p. 163 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: organic materials from the filling of burial H1 (pit L6).
Figure 6.8, p. 164 –*Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: a) fragment of the thin rope (diameter about 5 mm) found in the filling of the burial pit (L6); b) the two holes of a stitch: where the sewing thread is absent the stitching can be detected thank to the presence of parallel rows of little holes.
Figure 6.9, p. 165 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: the cord, found under the chin, is made of thin leather strips.
Figure 6.10, p. 165 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195: little piece of about 2 cm of worked leather with parallel rows of little cuts (about 3 mm) on its surface.

Figure 6.11, p. 166 – Wadi In Aghelachem, 'Royal Tumulus': fragment of skin belonged to a wild animal of the order of arctyodactila, probably *Bovidae*.

Figure 6.12, p. 166 – Wadi In Aghelachem, 'Royal Tumulus': skin with hairs braided in short plaits.

Figure 6.13, p. 166 – Wadi In Aghelachem, 'Royal Tumulus': sheepskin or goatskin.

Figure 6.14, p. 166 – Wadi In Aghelachem, 'Royal Tumulus'.

Figure 6.15, p. 166 – Wadi In Aghelachem, 'Royal Tumulus': distinct relief of the scale pattern with coronal, imbricate geometry of the cuticle in a non-pigmented hair with round cross section; it is probably a sheep hair.

Figure 6.16, p. 167 – Wadi In Aghelachem, 'Royal Tumulus', different wool textiles.

Figure 7.1, p. 171 – Poaceae indet. (*Aristida*-type, left: caryopses, lenght 7 mm) from Tumulus 2, Erg Titersin.

Figure 7.2, p. 171 – Indet. (Libya 8 and Libya 11) fruits (?) from a woody plant (*Tanezzuft Transect*, 00/195 Layer 6).

Figure 7.3, p. 172 – Two wooden shoe soles made of *Faidherbia albida* from Site 00/195, *Tanezzuft Transect*.

Figure 7.4, p. 173 – Spikelet of *Sorghum* sp. from Site 00/195*bis*, *Tanezzuft Transect*.

Figure 7.5, p. 176 – Panicoideae – Type 1 (*Panicum* sp.?) spikelets, from the 'Royal Tumulus'.

Figure 7.6, p. 178 – Date stone (*Phoenix dactylifera*) from the 'Royal Tumulus', at Wadi In Aghelachem.

Figure 8.1, p. 184 – Site 96/129, Tumulus 10: distal femur fragment tentatively attributed to a young *Orycteropus afer*.

Figure 8.2, p. 184 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195*bis*: distal femur fragment attributed to *Equus* sp.; a) lateral posterior view, b) medial view.

Figure 8.3, p. 185 – *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195*bis*: *Equus* distal femur *in situ* during the excavation of the burial.

Figure 8.4, p. 187 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': a) small carnivore coprolite; b) raptor pellet with hair and microfaunal bones visible inside.

Figure 8.5, p. 187 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) by skeletal element for the Small Ungulates

Figure 8.6, p. 188 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, 'Royal Tumulus': distal humerus of *Gazella dorcas* with cut marks.

Figure 8.7, p. 190 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, Small Feature 25: Standardised Minimum Number of Elements (%MNE) for *Gazella dorcas* and ovicaprines.

Figure 8.8, p. 190 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, Small Features Group 1: Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) by skeletal element for the Small Ungulates.

Figure 8.9, p. 191 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, Small Features Group 2: Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) by skeletal element for the Small Ungulates.

Figure 8.10, p. 192 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, Small Features Group 3: Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) by skeletal element for the Small Ungulates.

Figure 8.11, p. 193 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5, Small Features Group 4: Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) by skeletal element for the Small Ungulates.

Figure 9.1, p. 201 – 1) *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site 00/195*bis* H1; 2) Site 96/129, T2 H2; 3) Site 96/129, T2 H1: flint projectile points without any diagnostic use-wear. a) (referring to tool n. 2): 'glossy appearance' alteration of the microsurface; 4) Site 96/129, T2 H3: quartz end-scraper showing macro and micro abrasions (b) from scraping medium hard material; the ventral surface of the end-scraper shows red micro spots (c) which are not pigments residues but oxide naturally filling the micro fractures of the quartz matrix; 5) Site 96/129, T1 H5: flint scraper showing macro-traces (d) from cutting soft material.

Figure 9.2, p. 202 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98, Tumulus 4: quartzite 'pre-dynastic' knife showing macro (a) and micro use-wear (b, c, d) from cutting, scraping, smoothing medium hard materials.

Figure 9.3, p. 204 – Site 96/129, T1 H1: awl with flattened section.

Figure 9.4, p. 205 – 1-2) *Tanezzuft Transect,* Site 00/195, H1 and Site 96/129, T1 H6, square B4, Layer 4. Pointed and completely well finished artefacts on a small ungulate long bone: (a) distal end of 00/195 H1. The tip has been finished (re-shaped) by abrasion: note quadrangular section of the tip caused by non-rotating discontinuous movement of the abrasion; (b) Site 00/195 H1: traces of manufacture left by a lithic tool; (c) gnawing marks; (d) polish, striations and depressions are indicative of a 'linear' contact with soft material (150×).

Figure 9.5, p. 206 – Site 96/129, T1 H6, square B4, Layer 4: pointed artefact on small ungulate bone: (a) traces of manufacture left by a lithic tool; (b) gnawing marks.

Figure 9.6, p. 207 – Site 96/129, T2, carnelian beads: (a) SEM photo of chipping negatives; (b) macro-photo of grinding traces on the surface; (c) detachments on the outer edges of the perforation; (d) drilling striations on the internal walls; (e) internal walls of an experimental perforation of hard stone using a lithic drill tip and abrasives; (f) experimental perforation on hard stone using a bow drill. Note the detachments on the outer edges of the perforation; (g) polish on the surfaces of a carnelian bead (150×); (h) polish on the outer edges of the hole of a carnelian bead (150×).

Figure 9.7, p. 208 – Carnelian beads from different sites. Site 96/129, Tumulus 2: (a) Preferential polish on the outer edges of the hole due to prolonged contact with the suspension system (150×). Site 00/195: (b-c) macro-photo of traces connected with chipping activity; (d-e) grinding striations on carnelian beads. Site 00/195 and 97/5 'RT': (f-g) cylindrical cross-section of the holes and smoothness of perforation walls probably produced with a metallic drill tip. Site 00/195: (h) micro-photo of the outer edges the hole of the bead.

Figure 9.8, p. 210 – Soft stone beads from Site 96/129. Tumulus 10: (a-b) negatives of detachments not-completely effaced by successive phases of finishing and connected to a phase of 'chipping' of the roughout. Tumulus 1: (c) sawing traces localised on a small area of the outer edge of the bead. Tumulus 10 and Tumulus 1: (d-e-f) traces of grinding of roughout, carried out by abrasion with stone or other abrasive material. Tumulus 10: (g) localised wear referred to a prolonged suspension.

Figure 9.9, p. 211 – Ostrich eggshell beads from different sites. *Tanezzuft Transect*, Tumulus 6: (a) macro-photo of traces connected with chipping activity. Site 96/129, Tumulus 2: (b) detachments on the edges of the perforation. (c) experimental production of detachments on the outer edges of perforation; (d) Polishing: traces of abrasion carried out in order to round external detachments; (e-f) shallow 'grooves' on the outer surface of perforation edges; (g-h) Sawing striations identified on the external edges.

Figure 9.10, p. 213 – Site 96/129, T2, ostrich eggshell bead: (a) polishing traces (abrasion with sandstone or other type of abrasive stone). Site 96/129, T2 (square B9, Layer 3T), clay pendant: (b) wear due to a prolonged suspension on the perforation edges. Site 96/129,

T10 (squares D7 and E7), faience beads: (c) small detachments along the perforation edges. *Tanezzuft Transect*, Site T43: (d) sandstone artefact (capstone?). Site 96/129, T10, sandstone disk shaped object: (e) the artefact; (f) macro traces of abrasion and yellow coloration on localised areas of the outer edges of the object.

Figure 9.11, p. 215 – Manufacture of hard stone beads: (a) inverse-indirect percussion employed in India for the production of roughouts (particularly chalcedony: after Vidale 1993). Egyptian wall paintings testifying the use of bow drill for bead making during the 5th dynasty (b) and the 18th dynasty (c) from the tomb of Reikh-Mi.Re (ca. 1450 years BC). The arrow shows a 'cap stone' placed on the top of the shaft (after Caneva 1970; Gorelick and Gwinnet 1981a, b; Bulgarelli 1998).

Figure 10.1, p. 218 – Sexual dimorphism in the pelvic region at Site 96/129: a-b) females (from burials T2 H2 and T4 H1 respectively); c) male (T2 H1).

Figure 10.2, p. 219 – Schematic representation of measurements used to compare the shape of the cranial vault.

Figure 10.3, p. 227 – Lateral view of the cranium of 00/195, H1 from the *Tanezzuft Transect*, also referred to (see cranial analyses below and in Chapter 11) with the acronym TNZ (compare Fig. 5.26).

Figure 10.4, p. 227 – The mandible of 00/195 H1 from the *Tanezzuft Transect* (compare Figs. 5.26 and 10.3).

Figure 10.5, p. 236 – Lateral view of the cranium of T3 H1 from Site 96/129, also referred to (see cranial analyses below and in Chapter 11) with the acronym TAH (compare Fig. 5.84).

Figure 10.6, p. 236 – The mandible of T3 H1 from Site 96/129 (compare Figs. 5.84 and 10.5).

Figure 10.7, p. 237 – Partially healed *intra-vitam* lesion on a right parietal fragment from burial T3 H2 – Site 96/129.

Figure 10.8, p. 240 – Site 96/129: mortality distribution according to sex.

Figure 10.9, p. 240 – Mortality distribution in the 96/129 sample and comparisons.

Figure 10.10, p. 241 – Example of a deep preauricular sulcus in a young adult female – T4 H1, from Site 96/129.

Figure 10.11, p. 244 – Lateral view of the cranium 3319 from the *Garamantian* sample of the Wadi Al Ajal (Pace *et al.* 1951).

Figure 10.12, p. 245 – Distribution of Libyan (individual values) *vs* comparative African and Eurasian (average values) samples in the plane described by the first (27.63%) and second (21.14%) axes of the correspondence analysis, cumulatively explaining 48.77% of the total variance.

Figure 10.13, p. 246 – Cranial superior (upper) and posterior (lower) views of the following specimens, from left to right: a) T3 H1 from Site 96/129; b) 00/195 H1 from *Tanezzuft Transect*; c) 3319 of the Sergi sample from the Wadi Al Ajal.

Figure 10.14, p. 248 – Femurs of different length and robustness of adult individuals from Site 96/129 and In Aghelachem.

Figure 10.15, p. 249 – Estimated statures in the Tanezzuft series and comparisons, male samples.

Figure 10.16, p. 249 – Bucco-lingual diameter of mandibular P4.

Figure 11.1, p. 252 – Facial views of the following crania: a) the female T3 H1 from Site 96/129, in this paper referred to with the acronym TAH (see also Figs. 10.5 and 10.13); b) the male 00/195 H1 from the *Tanezzuft Transect*, referred to with the acronym TNZ (see also Figs. 10.3 and 10.13); c) the male 3319, extracted from the *Garamantian sample* of the Wadi Al Ajal.

Figure 11.2, p. 253 – Landmark points selected for geometric morphometric analysis of the facial skeleton.

Figure 11.3, p. 254 – Right lateral (above) and frontal (below) views of the morphological changes described in the examined sample by PC1 vector of the principal component analysis carried out on the transformed landmark-based data, that is from the consensus configuration of landmarks (dotted line) towards extreme observed values (continuous line) of the same axis, from lower (a) to higher (b) scores.

Figure 11.4, p. 255 – Distribution of the samples (see text for labels and acronyms) along PC1 (a), and right lateral view of the morphological transformation observed moving towards values of the axis lower than (i.e. external to) the variation actually observed (b) – it is comparable to a sub-Saharan pattern.

Figure 11.5, p. 256 – Distribution of the samples (see text for labels and acronyms) according to centroid size dimensions (a), and lateral view of the morphological transformation observed towards the highest values of the allometric vector (b).

Figure 11.6, p. 257 – Distribution of the samples (see text for labels and acronyms) along a discriminant axis where the Sergi sample is opposed to the other series and specimens considered in this study.

Figure 11.7, p. 257 – Distribution of the samples along a discriminant axis where the Sergi sample plus the specimens from TAH and TNZ (cumulatively referred to as 'ancient Fezzan') are opposed to North and East African series.

Figure 11.8, p. 258 – Right (a), frontal (b), and superior (c) views of the geometric transformation of morphologies moving towards the characterisation of the facial phenotype encountered among the 'ancient Fezzan' group (Sergi sample plus TAH and TNZ), moving along the scores of the discriminant function reported in Fig. 7.

Figure 11.9, p. 259 – Right lateral views of the specimens TNZ (a) and TAH (b) superimposed to the respective splines (deformation grids) on the average *Garamantian* pattern, as described by the Sergi sample.

Figure 12.1, p. 263 – Average degree of stress (weighted on the number of observable markers) in girdle and limb bones.

Figure 12.2, p. 263 – Frequencies of stress markers related to compression (squares) and torsion and bending (circles) in the cervical segment (C), thoracic segment (T) and lumbar segment (L).

Figure 12.3, p. 264 – Average degree of stress (weighted on the number of observable markers) in the three age classes (20-30 years, 30-40 years, 40-50 years).

Figure 12.4, p. 264 – Frequencies of age related stress markers.

Figure 12.5, p. 264 – Average degree of stress (weighted on the number of observable markers) in girdle and limb bones in men (M) and women (F).

Figure 12.6, p. 265 – T1 H1, male aged 40-50 years. Right tibia: eburnation of the medial condyle.

Figure 12.7, p. 266 – T1 H6a, male aged 20-25 years. Thoracic vertebrae: Schmorl's nodes.

Figure 12.8, p. 266 – T3 H2, male aged 30-40 years. Cervical vertebrae: signs of arthropathy.

Figure 12.9, p. 267 – Frequencies of stress markers in male (M) and female (F) different vertebral segments.

Figure 13.1, p. 272 – The human mtDNA map.

Figure 13.2, p. 275 – RFLP strategy followed to classify the T43 H1 mtDNA sequence.

Figure 13.3, p. 276 – Phylogenetic position of the ancient sample T43 H1 in the schematic representation of the world mtDNA genealogy slightly modified from Richards and Macaulay (2000).

Figure 13.4, p. 278 – Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of sex test PCR products of the three prehistoric samples processed using the primer system amelogenin A/B (Mannucci *et al*. 1994).

Figure 13.5, p. 279 – Genetic affinities between the prehistoric samples from the Wadi Tanezzuft Valley and extant populations from various African, Mediterranean and Near Eastern countries analysed for mtDNA HVS-I variability.

Figure 14.1, p. 283 – Wadi Tanezzuft record. Calibrated radiocarbon determinations of excavated structures.

Figure 14.2, p. 287 – Wadi Tanezzuft record. Phases II-III: age and sex of the individuals.

Figure 14.3, p. 288 – Wadi Tanezzuft record. Phase II: orientation of the corpses.

Figure 14.4, p. 291 – Wadi Tanezzuft record. Phase III: orientation of the corpses.

Figure 14.5, p. 294 – Scene of taurokathapsia at Wadi Tin Einessnis (Messak Settafet).

Figure 14.6, p. 297 – Hypothesis of oasis contraction in the Wadi Tanezzuft, from around 5000 to 2500 years bp, on the basis of funerary sites' distribution.

Figure 14.7, p. 300 – Sketch of main cultural transitions in the recent prehistory and early historical periods in south-western Fezzan, seen from the Wadi Tanezzuft.

Figure 14.8, p. 301 – Human settlements in south-western Fezzan at the beginning of the 20th century (after Scarin 1937).

Tables

Table 2.I, p. 15 – Radiocarbon dates from the Tanezzuft region (after Cremaschi 2001).

Table 4.I, p. 34-35 – List of the sites found during the 1996-2001 extensive survey, and quantity of stone monuments.

Table 4.II, p. 37 – Typology of structures located in the extensive survey of the Tanezzuft Valley (1996-2001).

Table 4.III, p. 37 – Frequencies of stone tumuli in the Tanezzuft Valley, by size.

Table 4.IV, p. 46 – Main features of the structures mapped in the Erg Titersin.

Table 4.V, p. 50 – Main features of the structures mapped in the *Western Tanezzuft*.

Table 4.VI, pp. 54-55 – Main features of the structures mapped in the *Eastern Tanezzuft*.

Table 4.VII, p. 59 – Main features of the structures mapped in Wadi In Aghelachem.

Table 4.VIII, p. 59 – Frequency of structures according to their macro-geomorphological features, by study areas.

Table 4.IX, p. 60 – Frequency of typological classes, by study areas.

Table 4.X, p. 60 – Frequency of different sizes of tumuli, by study areas.

Table 4.XI, p. 61 – Morphological distribution of different structure types.

Table 4.XII, p. 63 – Nearest Neighbour Clarke-Evans coefficient and corresponding spatial pattern of tumuli located in the *Western* and *Eastern Tanezzuft* samples, by size.

Table 4.XIII, p. 63 – Average distance of stone monuments in the *Western* and *Eastern Tanezzuft* areas.

Table 4.XIV, p. 65 – Aggregation (*Clusters*) of stone structures of *Western Tanezzuft* sample.

Table 4.XV, p. 65 – Grouping of stone structures of *Western Tanezzuft* sample.

Table 4.XVI, p. 67 – Aggregation (*Clusters*) of stone structures of *Eastern Tanezzuft* sample.

Table 4.XVII, p. 67 – Grouping of stone structures of *Eastern Tanezzuft* sample.

Table 6.I, p. 158 – List of the examined sites, and their spatial and chronological position.

Table 6.II, p. 158 – Site 96/129: artefacts of organic matter.

Table 6.III, p. 161 – *Tanezzuft Transect*: artefacts of organic matter from survey and excavations.

Table 7.I, p. 170 – Archaeobotanical remains from the Erg Titersin area.

Table 7.II, p. 170 – Botanical materials from the *Tanezzuft Transect*.

Table 7.III, p. 171 – Seeds from the *Tanezzuft Transect*.

Table 7.IV, p. 172 – *Tanezzuft Transect*: wood from Tumulus 6 and 'V-type' Stone Platform 00/195.

Table 7.V, p. 173 – Botanical materials from Site 96/129, near Tahala.

Table 7.VI, p. 174 – Seed remains from the site 96/129, near Tahala.

Table 7.VII, p. 176 – Botanical materials from the Wadi In Aghelachem area.

Table 7.VIII, p. 177 – Seed remains from In Aghelachem.

Table 7.IX, p. 178 – In Aghelachem: charcoal from the Small Features of the 'Royal Tumulus'.

Table 7.X, p. 178 – In Aghelachem: charcoal from U-St 2.

Table 8.I, p. 182 – Erg Titersin, Site 00/98: number of animal remains in Tumuli 2 and 4.

Table 8.II, p. 182 – Site 96/129: number of animal remains in Tumuli 1, 2, and 3.

Table 8.III, p. 183 – Site 96/129: number of animal remains in Tumulus 10.

Table 8.IV, p. 184 – The *Tanezzuft Transect*: number of animal remains in Sites 00/195 and 00/195*bis*.

Table 8.V, p. 184 – The *Tanezzuft Transect*: number of animal remains in Sites T6 and T43.

Table 8.VI, p. 186 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5: number of animal remains in Tumulus 1.

Table 8.VII, p. 188 – In Aghelachem, site 97/5: number of animal remains in the 'Royal Tumulus' and quantity of burned fragments.

Table 8.VIII, p. 189 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5: number of Small Ungulate remains in the different structures by skeletal element.

Table 8.IX, p. 189 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5: number of animal remains in the U-Structure 2 and number of burned fragments.

Table 8.X, p. 189 – In Aghelachem, site 97/5: number of animal remains in the Small Features of Group 1 and number of burned fragments.

Table 8.XI, p. 191 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5: number of animal remains in the Small Features of Group 2 and number of burned fragments.

Table 8.XII, p. 192 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5: number of animal remains in the Small Features of Group 3 and number of burned fragments.

Table 8.XII, p. 192 – In Aghelachem, Site 97/5: number of animal remains in the Small Features of Group 4 and number of burned fragments.

Table 9.I, p. 200 – Site 96/129, T1 H5 near the right scapula, specimens 2-6: trace analysis results in short.

Table 9.II, p. 200 – Trace analysis results in short.

Table 10.I, p. 220 – List of individuals per site.

Table 10.II, p. 220 – Site 96/129: list of sex and age at death determinations per individual.

Table 10.III, p. 221 – Recorded cranial measurements (values in mm).

Table 10.IV, p. 222 – Principal cranial indices.

Table 10.V, p. 223 – Cranial characterisation of the Tanezzuft skeletal samples.

Table 10.VI, p. 223 – Principal cranial non-metric traits.

Table 10.VIIa, p. 224 – Site 96/129: post-cranial measurements recorded for the arms (values in mm).

Table 10.VIIb, p. 225 – Sites 97/5 and Tnz 2001: postcranial measurements recorded for the arms (values in mm).

Table 10.VIIc, p. 226 – Site 96/129: postcranial measurements recorded for the legs (values in mm).

Table 10.VIId, p. 228 – Sites 97/5 and Tnz 2001: postcranial measurements recorded for the legs (values in mm).

Table 10.VIII, p. 229 – Estimation of stature (cm) for males and females.

Table 10.IX, p. 229 – Principal indices of body proportions.

Table 10.Xa, p. 230 – Mesio-distal (MD) and bucco-lingual (BL) dental crown diameters (anterior teeth, values in mm).

Table 10.Xb, p. 231 – Mesio-distal (MD) and bucco-lingual (BL) dental crown diameters (posterior teeth, values in mm).

Table 12.I, p. 262 – The sample used in the study of occupational markers.

Table 12.II, p. 263 – Distribution of the adult specimens by age classes.

Table 13.I, p. 271 – Control region primers utilised for the PCR amplifications.

Table 13.II, p. 273 – PCR profiles and primers utilised in the RFLP screening*.

Table 13.III, p. 274 – Mean pairwise sequence differences (MPSD) between the prehistoric Libyan mtDNA sequence (Individual T43 H1) and sequences from contemporary populations (see the Appendix).

Table 14.I, p. 282 – Radiocarbon determinations of Wadi Tanezzuft burials (uncalibrated years before present).

Table 14.II, p. 283 – Synthesis of bead technology.

Table 14.III, p. 284 – Phase I (5th millennium bp): main geomorphological and architectural features of Site 97/5, Tumulus 1.

Table 14.IV, p. 285 – Phase I (5th millennium bp): grave goods of Site 97/5, Tumulus 1.

Table 14.V, p. 286 – Phase II (first half of 4th millennium bp): main geomorphological and architectural features of the excavated structures.

Table 14.VI, p. 289 – Phase II (first half of 4th millennium bp): grave goods of the excavated structures.

Table 14.VII, p. 290 – Phase III (late 4th-3rd millennium bp): main geomorphological and architectural features of excavated structures.

Table 14.VIII, p. 292 – Phase III (late 4th-3rd millennium bp): grave goods from the excavated structures.